

**RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT
OF THE GENETIC MODIFICATION
ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC)**

FOR

**AN APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL FOR RELEASE
OF PRODUCTS OF DAS-59122-7 CORN FOR
SUPPLY OR OFFER TO SUPPLY**

NBB REF NO: JBK(S) 602-1/1/27

APPLICANT: DUPONT MALAYSIA SDN. BHD.

DATE: 26 APRIL 2016

I - Summary of Assessment Process

On 18 February 2016, the Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC, please refer to Appendix 1 for details of GMAC), received from the Department of Biosafety an application for the approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism insect-resistant and herbicide-tolerant DAS-59122-7 corn. The application was filed by DuPont Malaysia Sdn. Bhd. on behalf of Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. (hereafter referred to as “the applicant”). After an initial review, GMAC requested for additional information from the applicant.

A public consultation for this application was conducted from 2 November 2015 to 2 December 2015 via advertisements in the local newspapers. Comments were received from Third World Network (TWN). GMAC took into considerations comments regarding the combinatorial/synergistic effects of the Cry34Ab1 and Cry35Ab1 proteins and the level of herbicide residue in the plants and whether or not these levels of exposure could cause acute and/or chronic health effects.

GMAC had three (3) meetings pertaining to this application and prepared the Risk Assessment Report and Risk Assessment Matrix along with its recommended decision, for consideration by the National Biosafety Board.

II - Background of Application

This application is for approval to import and release products of a Living Modified Organism insect-resistant and herbicide-tolerant DAS-59122-7 corn. The aim of the import and release is to supply or offer to supply for sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP). According to the applicant, DAS-59122-7 corn has been registered in a number of countries for cultivation as well as for food, feed and for processing. DAS-59122-7 corn is approved in the European Union, United States of America, Australia, New Zealand, Canada and a few more countries and may be imported, stored and processed for use in food, animal feed and industrial products in the same way as other conventional, non-transgenic corn. The type of expected use of the products derived from DAS-59122-7 corn in Malaysia will be the same as the expected usage for products derived from conventional corn. Potential users of products derived from DAS-59122-7 corn such as grains are feed millers, food processors and other industrial use.

Information about DAS-59122-7 Corn

The recipient or parental plant is *Zea mays* L.spp *mays* (field or sweet corn). Corn is extensively cultivated and has a long history of safe use as a food or feed. It is one of the largest cultivated crop in the world followed by wheat (*Triticum* sp.) and rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in total global metric ton production (FAOSTAT, 2016).

DAS-59122-7 is tolerant to the action of glufosinate-ammonium herbicides and has active insecticidal properties against damage caused by certain coleopteran insect pests, such as corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.). DAS-59122-7 produces phosphinothricin acetyltransferase (PAT) protein derived from *Streptomyces viridochromogenes* and Cry34Ab1 and Cry35Ab1 proteins from *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*) which confers glufosinate-ammonium herbicide tolerance and insect resistance, respectively.

III - Risk Assessment and Risk Management Plan

GMAC evaluated the application with reference to the following documents:

- (i) CODEX Guideline for the Conduct of Food Safety Assessment of Foods Derived from Recombinant-DNA Plants.
- (ii) Roadmap for Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms, (according to Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety produced by the *Ad Hoc* Technical Expert Group (AHTEG) on Risk Assessment and Risk Management of the Convention on Biological Diversity).
- (iii) The risk assessment and risk management plan submitted by the applicant.

GMAC also referred to the following recommendations within the AHTEG guidelines:

- (i) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the details of this particular application
- (ii) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the receiving environment in question, and
- (iii) That any risk identified be compared against that posed by the unmodified organism.

In conducting the risk assessment, GMAC identified potential hazards, and then added a value/rank for the likelihood of each hazard as well as its consequences. The likelihood of each hazard occurring was evaluated qualitatively on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'highly unlikely', and 4 for 'highly likely'. The consequences of each hazard, if it were to occur, were then evaluated on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'marginal' and 4 to denote a 'major consequence'. A value was finally assigned for the overall risk from the identified potential hazard. The general formula: Overall Risk = Likelihood x Consequence was employed. GMAC also proposed risk management strategies for potential hazards, where appropriate. This methodology of assessment follows the procedure of Risk Assessment in Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.

The potential hazards were identified in three main areas:

(i) **Effects on human health**

Relevant scientific publications on the genetic modifications were reviewed for potential human health risks and issues pertaining to acute toxicity of novel protein / altering / interference of metabolic pathways, potential allergenicity of the novel protein, production of proteins or metabolites with mutagenic / teratogenic / carcinogenic effects, reproductive toxicity, potential transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in digestive tract, pathogenic potential of donor microorganisms and nutritional equivalence.

(ii) **Effects on animal health**

Issues pertaining to allergenicity, toxicity, anti-nutritional content, survivability and animal product contamination.

(iii) **Effects on the environment**

Issues pertaining to accidental release of seeds, unintentional release and planting, potential of transgenes being transferred to bacteria (soil bacteria, bacterial flora of animal gut), increased fitness, weediness and invasiveness, accumulation of the protein in the environment via feces from animals fed with the GM plant/grain, cross pollination leading to transfer of transgenes and toxic effect on non-target organisms were examined.

Based on the above, a final list of 21 potential hazards was identified. Most of these hazards were rated as having an Overall Risk of 1 or “negligible”.

GMAC also took caution and discussed a few of the hazards that required further evaluation and data acquisition. Some of these risks are expected to be managed effectively with the risk management strategies proposed (please refer to section IV of this document).

Some of the potential hazards are highlighted below along with the appropriate management strategies:

a) Accidental release of viable seeds

Seeds may be accidentally released during transportation. These seeds can germinate and grow along transportation routes and in areas surrounding storage and processing facilities (JBK Report Number No. 04, 2015). In the conducive warm and humid climate of Malaysia, there is a high likelihood of these volunteers maturing to the flowering and seed-setting stages. Although corn is not grown as an economic crop in Malaysia and there are no wild relatives, some varieties of baby corn and sweet corn are cultivated on small scales. Thus, there is a likelihood of outcrossing of the GM corn with these cultivated corns. Repeated cycles of spill-and-growth also increase the likelihood for the development of feral GM populations.

b) Planting of seeds

Plants may be grown by uninformed farmers and perpetuated through small scale cultivations. These GM corn may pollinate the non-GM baby corn and/or sweetcorn. There should also be clear labeling of the product to state that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing, and is not to be used as planting material.

c) Compromised Nutritional Content

Compositional analyses of the forage and grain samples showed no significant difference in nutritional composition between DAS-59122-7 corn and conventional corn.

However, applicant is required to update the National Biosafety Board immediately if additional tests indicates potential adverse effects or the possible presence of toxin or allergenic proteins.

IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval

Based on the 21 potential hazards identified and assessed, GMAC has drawn up the following terms and conditions to be included in the certificate of approval for the release of this product:

- a) There shall be clear documentation by the exporter describing the product which shall be declared to the Royal Malaysian Customs.
- b) There shall be clear labeling of the product from importation to all levels of marketing stating that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing, and is not to be used as planting material.
- c) Should the approved person receives any credible and/or scientifically proven information that indicates any adverse effect of DAS-59122-7 corn, the National Biosafety Board shall be informed immediately (for a review as in Section 18 of the Biosafety Act).
- d) Any spillage (during loading/unloading) shall be collected and cleaned up immediately.
- e) Transportation of the consignment from the port of entry to any destination within the country shall be in secured and closed conditions.

V - Other Regulatory Considerations

- a) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety, Royal Malaysian Customs Department and relevant agencies to ensure accurate declaration of product information and clear labeling of the product is implemented.

- b) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) to impose post entry requirements for accidental spillage involving the GM product.
- c) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) and other competent agencies to impose post entry requirements for food safety compliance.
- d) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out between the Department of Biosafety and the Department of Veterinary Services (DVS) so that any unanticipated adverse effects in animals caused by any consumption of the GM products shall be reported immediately.
- e) The Food Safety and Quality Division under the Ministry of Health shall ensure that the labelling of GM food is implemented as required under Food Regulations 1985.

VI - Identification of issues to be addressed for long term use release of this product

- a) Continuous monitoring is required from the approved person to report any unanticipated adverse effect caused by the DAS-59122-7 corn.

VII – Conclusion and Recommendation

GMAC has conducted a thorough evaluation of the application for approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism insect-resistant and herbicide-tolerant DAS-59122-7 corn and has determined that the release of this product does not endanger biological diversity or human, animal and plant health. GMAC recommends that the proposed application for release be **APPROVED WITH TERMS AND CONDITIONS** as listed in section IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval.

VIII - Bibliography

1. Aalberse, R.C. 2000. Structural biology of allergens. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*. 106: 228-238.
2. Astwood, J.D., J.N. Leach and R.L. Fuchs. 1996. Stability of food allergens to digestion in vitro. *Nature Biotechnology*. 14: 1269-1273.
3. Andersson, M.S. and de Vicente, M.C. 2010. Maize, Corn (*Zea mays* L.). Gene Flow between Crops and Their Wild Relatives. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, pp 255-291
4. Bravo, A. 1997. Phylogenetic relationships of *Bacillus thuringiensis* δ -endotoxin family proteins and their functional domains. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 179: 2793-2801.
5. CFIA. 1994. The Biology of *Zea mays* (L.) (Maize). Canadian Food Inspection Agency, BIO1994-11
6. Clark, B.W., Phillips, T.A. and Coats, J.R. 2005. Environmental Fate and Effects of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) Proteins from Transgenic Crops: a Review. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 53: 4643-4653
7. Conner, A.J., Glare, T.R. and Nap, J.P. 2003. The release of genetically modified crops into the environment. Part II. Overview of ecological risk assessment. *The Plant Journal* 33: 19-46
8. de Vries, J. and Wackernagel, W. 2004. Microbial horizontal gene transfer and the DNA release from transgenic crop plants. *Plant and Soil* 266: 91-104
9. FAO-WHO. 1991. Strategies for assessing the safety of foods produced by biotechnology. *Report of joint FAO/WHO consultation*. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
10. FAO-WHO. 2001. Evaluation of allergenicity of genetically modified foods. *Report of a joint FAO/WHO expert consultation on allergenicity of foods derived from biotechnology*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
11. FAOSTAT. 2016. Food and Agricultural Organization statistical database. Statistics for Most produced commodities in the world (Cereals) from the year 1993-2013 (average in million tonnes) Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, New York, New York. <http://faostat3.fao.org/browse/Q/QC/E> [Accessed February 11, 2016].
12. Herman, E.M., Helm, R.M., Jung, R. and Kinney, A.J. 2003. Genetic Modification Removes an Immunodominant Allergen from Soybean. *Plant Physiology* 132: 36-43

13. Hérouet C., Esdaile, D.J., Mallyon, B.A., Debruyne, E., Schulz, A., Currier, T., Hendrickx, K., van der Klis, R.J. and Rouan, D. 2005. Safety evaluation of the phosphinothricin acetyltransferase proteins encoded by the pat and bar sequences that confer tolerance to glufosinate-ammonium herbicide in transgenic plants. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology* 41: 134-149
14. Höfte, H. and H.R. Whiteley. 1989. Insecticidal crystal proteins of *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Microbiological Reviews*. 53: 242-255.
15. Hong, B., Fisher, T.L., Sult, T.S., Maxwell, C.A., Mickelson, J.A., Kishino, H. and Locke, M.E.H. 2014. Model-Based Tolerance Intervals Derived from Cumulative Historical Composition Data: Application for Substantial Equivalence Assessment of a Genetically Modified Crop. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 62: 9916-9926
16. Icoz, I. and Stotzky, G. 2008. Cry3Bb1 protein from *Bacillus thuringiensis* in root exudates and biomass of transgenic corn does not persist in soil. *Transgenic Research* 17: 609-620
17. JBK Report No. 4 (2015) Germination Rate of GM Corn and GM Soya seeds that are imported into Malaysia for the purpose of food, feed and processing”
18. Juberg, D.R., Herman, R.A., Thomas, J., Brooks, K.J. and Delaney, B. 2009. Acute and repeated dose (28 day) mouse oral toxicology studies with Cry34Ab1 and Cry35Ab1 Bt proteins used in coleopteran resistant DAS-59122-7 corn. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology* 54: 154-163
19. Keese, P.2008. Risks from GMOs due to horizontal gene transfer. *Environ Biosafety Res.* 2008; 7:123–149. doi: 10.1051/ebr:2008014.
20. Luna, V.S., Figueroa, M.J., Baltazar, M.B., Gomez, L.R., Townsend, R. and Schoper, J.B. 2001. Maize Pollen Longevity and Distance Isolation Requirements for Effective Pollen Control. *Crop Science* 41: 1551-1557
21. McClintock, J.T., C.R. Schaffer and R.D. Sjoblad. 1995. A comparative review of the mammalian toxicity of *Bacillus thuringiensis*-based pesticides. *Pesticide Science*. 45: 95-105.
22. Mendelsohn, M., Kough, J., Vaituzis, Z. and Matthews, K. 2003. Are Bt crops safe? *Nature Biotechnology* 21: 1003-1009
23. Messeguer, J., Peñas, G., Ballester, J., Bas, M., Serra, J., Salvia, J., Palauelmàs, M. and Melé, E. 2006. Pollen-mediated gene flow in maize in real situations of coexistence. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 4: 633-645

24. Metcalfe, D.D., J.D. Astwood, R. Townsend, H.A. Sampson, S.L. Taylor and R.L. Fuchs. 1996. Assessment of the allergenic potential of foods derived from genetically engineered crop plants. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*. 36: S165-S186.
25. Newcomb, M.D. 1995. *Corn and animal nutrition in the United States*. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Washington, D.C.
26. Nielsen, K.M. 1998. Barriers to horizontal gene transfer by natural transformation in soil bacteria. *APMIS* 106: 77-84
27. Nielsen, K.M., Bones, A.M., Smalla, K. and van Elsas, J.D. 1998. Horizontal gene transfer from transgenic plants to terrestrial bacteria – a rare event? *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 22: 79-103
28. Nielsen, K.M., Van Elsas, J.D. and Smalla, K. 2000. Transformation of *Acinetobacter sp.* Strain BD413 (pFG4 Δ nptII) with Transgenic Plant DNA in Soil Microcosms and Effects of Kanamycin on Selection of Transformants. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 66: 1237-1242
29. OECD. 1999. Consensus document on general information concerning the genes and their enzymes that confer tolerance to phosphinothricin herbicide. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, ENV/JM/MONO(99)13
30. OECD. 2002. Consensus document on compositional considerations for new varieties of maize (*Zea mays*): Key food and feed nutrients, anti-nutrients and secondary plant metabolites. ENV/JM/MONO (2002)25. *Series on the Safety of Novel Foods and Feeds, No. 6*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France.
31. OECD. 2003. Consensus Document on the Biology of *Zea mays* subsp. *mays* (Maize). Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, ENV/JM/MONO(2003)11
32. OECD. 2007. Consensus Document on Safety Information on Transgenic Plants Expressing *Bacillus thuringiensis* - Derived Insect Control Proteins. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, ENV/JM/MONO(2007)14
33. Raybould, A., Wilkinson, M.J. 2005. Assessing the environmental risks of gene flow from GM crops to wild relatives. In GM Poppy, MJ Wilkinson, eds, Gene flow from GM plants. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, pp 169-185
34. Raybould, A., Higgins, L.S., Horak, M.J., Layton, R.J., Storer, N.P., De La Fuente, J.M. and Herman, R.A. 2012. Assessing the ecological risks from the persistence and spread

of feral populations of insect-resistant transgenic maize. *Transgenic Research* 21: 655-664

35. Schnepf, E., Crickmore, N., Van Rie, J., Lereclus, D., Baum, J., Feitelson, J., Zeigler, D.R. and Dean, D.H. 1998. *Bacillus thuringiensis* and Its Pesticidal Crystal Proteins. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 62: 775-806
36. Shaw, R.H. 1988. Climate Requirement. In GF Sprague, ed, *Corn and Corn Improvement*, Ed 3. ASA-CSSA-SSSA, Madison, pp 609-638
37. Shelton, A. 2012. Bacteria. *Biological Control: A Guide to Natural Enemies in North America*, <http://www.biocontrol.entomology.cornell.edu/pathogens/bacteria.html>
38. Sjoblad, R.D., J.T. McClintock and R. Engler. 1992. Toxicological considerations for protein components of biological pesticide products. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*. 15: 3-9.
39. U.S. EPA. 1996. *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1A(b) delta-endotoxin and the genetic material necessary for its production in all plants; Exemption from requirement of a tolerance. *Federal Register*. 61: 40340-40343.
40. U.S. EPA. 2001b. *Biopesticides registration action document: Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) plant-incorporated protectants* (October 15, 2001). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington D.C. http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/biopesticides/pips/bt_brad.htm.
41. U.S. EPA. 2010. *Biopesticides Registration Action Document: Bacillus thuringiensis Cry34Ab1 and Cry35Ab1 Proteins and the Genetic Material Necessary for Their Production (PHP17662 T-DNA) in Event DAS-59122-7 Corn (OECD Unique Identifier: DAS-59122-7)*. United States Environmental Protection Agency, www.epa.gov/pesticides/biopesticides/pips/cry3435ab1-brad.pdf
42. Van Eenennaam, A.L. and Young, A.E. 2014. Prevalence and impacts of genetically engineered feedstuffs on livestock populations. *Journal of Animal Science* 92: 4255-4278
43. Van Mellaert, H., J. Van Rie, C. Hofman and A. Reynaerts. 1988. Insecticidal crystal proteins from *Bacillus thuringiensis*: Mode of action and expression in transgenic plants. Pages 82-87 in *Biotechnology, Biological Pesticides and Novel Plant-Pest Resistance for Insect Pest Management*. Boyce Thompson Institute, Cornell University, New York.
44. White, P.J. and E.J. Weber. 2003. Lipids of the kernel. Pages 355-405 in *Corn: Chemistry and Technology*. Second Edition. P.J. White and L.A. Johnson (eds.). American Association of Cereal Chemists, Inc., St. Paul, Minnesota.

**GENETIC MODIFICATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC) MEMBERS INVOLVED IN
SPECIFIC RISK ASSESSMENT AREAS FOR THE APPROVAL FOR RELEASE OF
PRODUCTS OF DAS-59122-7 CORN FOR SUPPLY OR OFFER TO SUPPLY**

Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC) members divided the task of looking up more information for the Risk Assessment matrix based on three broad categories. The scope of research aspects for each group is as listed below. Each sub-committee had a nominated leader to coordinate the work and report back to the main GMAC. The respective leader contacted the sub-committee members and discussed the work process with their members. The groupings of GMAC sub-committee members and their assigned tasks are as below:

1. ENVIRONMENT

- **Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd. Faiz Foong bin Abdullah (Universiti Teknologi MARA) (Leader)**
- Dato' Dr. Sim Soon Liang (Sarawak Biodiversity Centre)
- Dr. Kodi Isparan Kandasamy (Malaysian Biotechnology Corporation Sdn. Bhd.)
- Madam Atikah binti Abdul Kadir Jailani (Department of Agriculture)
- Dr. Norliza Tendot Abu Bakar (Malaysian Agricultural Research & Development Institute)
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Choong Chee Yen (Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia)

2. HUMAN HEALTH

- **Madam T.S. Saraswathy (Institute of Medical Research) (Leader)**
- Dr. Rahizan Issa (Institute of Medical Research)
- Dr. Adiratna Mat Ripen (Institute of Medical Research)
- Madam Laila Rabaah Ahmad Suhaimi (Ministry of Health)
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Chan Kok Gan (Universiti Malaya)
- Prof. Dr. Abd Rahman Milan (Universiti Malaysia Sabah)

3. ANIMAL HEALTH

- **Prof. Dr Jothi Malar Panandam (Universiti Putra Malaysia) (Leader)**
- Dr. Ahmad Parveez bin Hj Ghulam Kadir (Malaysian Palm Oil Board)
- Dr. Norwati Muhammad (Forest Research Institute of Malaysia)
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zunita Zakaria (Universiti Putra Malaysia)
- Dr. Teo Tze Min (Entomological Society of Malaysia)